An Investigation of the Consequences of Workplace Bullying, Including Defensive Silence and Psychological Well-Being. The Role of Negative Work Rumination in Mediating the Effects of Workplace Bullying

Aasma Jabeen[1], Bushra Abbas[2], Faheem Uddin Syed[3], Mehreen Khalil[4]

[1]Research Scholar, Institute of Management Sciences, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan
[2]Research Scholar, Institute of Management Sciences, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan
[3]Research Scholar, Business Economics and Consultancy, Universita degli studi di Messina, Messina
[4]Research Scholar, Institute of Management Sciences, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan

Abstract:- The aim was to investigate connections between self-sufficient variable workplace bullying and two dependent variables, defensive silence and mental well-being based on resources theory and extension of the activation, and the conservative cognitive model of stress. It's a terrible job. Rumination is a mediator between the administered and the independent variables. Data were collected from nurses (N=350) in public clinics in Multan, Jang, Sahiwal and Layyah. The research was virtually explanatory in this investigation. It is a quantitative examination of comfort techniques for sampling. The main findings of current study have demonstrated that the connection between bullying at work and defensive silence and harassment at work is clear and significant. The present study adds several knowledge groups of current factors and extends the mediating components between the harassment connection and its effects. In order to prevent bullying the administration, hospital management must establish a "zero-tolerance" policy and offer training. In order to reduce negative impacts on health by labor bullying, emotional intelligence should be fostered and reinforced in nurses. Cross-sectional approach and use of self-reported survey data, the limitation of selected study cities. In the current research, a novel mediator has added to the limited research corpus on bullying, protection and emotional well-being.

Keywords:- Bullying Behavior, Conservation Of Resources, Defensive Silence Well-Being, Nurses.

I. INTRODUCTION

The most famous and significant kind of interpersonal mistreatment is the workplace bullying, the situation when individuals, primarily supervisors and staff at work, regularly face unpleasant behavior [62]. The concept of a violent conduct termed bullying is a frequently debated topic from many years earlier worldwide [39]. Bullying often happens with an estimated 11-18% prevalence rate in various businesses (Nielsen et al. 2010), and nearly 80% of cases utilize supervision to harass others other companies [62]. The consequences of bullying include high desire to stop, absenteeism, low organizational citizenship, bad employment performance, dissatisfaction at work, labor market disengagement, poor organizational commitment, depression, trauma and psychosomatic symptoms); (a) The research on bullying outcomes is widely available [59, 76]. However, in the research, there are substantial gaps which examine the link between the consequences of bullying.

The world-wide problem of occupational bullying is recognized as a difficult nursing task among health professionals in Pakistan. The study by Rutherford and Rissel revealed that 17% of nurses in Britain were harassed early, although that percentage was lower than previous research reports, such as that 38% were harassed by Cusack and their colleagues saw increasing bullying (42 percent) [15, 75]. Moreover, WPB is 16 times more common in the healthcare sector compared to other organizations [54]. The effects of bullying on health professionals may significantly affect their ability to provide safe and effective health services. In many instances, caregivers may choose to stop the transaction in order to prevent its effects [90]. Workplace bullying has many effects on the healthcare system - poor in the minds, uncertainty, less skills and a low level of job satisfaction - which cause mental disorders for the nurses.

Workplace bullying and defensive silence

The COR Theory argues that bullying at work may produce a loss of resources, i.e., workers might restrain attempts to consume resources, or even utilize ignored resource methods, and may take defense postures. In the next chapter, based on COR theory, I presented the laboratory bullying findings (defensive silence and psychological well-being). Rumors of work as mediators have been negative in the current research. [40 89,14 41, 68]

H1: Workplace bullying has a positive relationship with

defensive silence.

Workplace bullying and psychological well-being

The topic of a research by Brunetto, Farr-Wharton and Shacklock was business contacts, cooperation and profitability for public vs. private nurses (2011). The link between nurses and employers has impacted the impression that nurses cooperate, that employment is uncertain and thus good. In general, private employees welcomed the cooperation of their superiors and were thus very fluent and successful.

Previous studies indicate that nurses expect the risk of illness in the context of bullying, danger and violence [16]. Ronald J. Burke et al were examining the psychological well-being of physicians in Spain. The result of this study indicates that psychological worry and sorrow for nurses with strong work-family intervention and passionate needs has been decreased. Researchers in Basque nations, Alberto A K et al, entitled "Burnout and Psychological Prosperity." Only 28.8% of the sample exhibited an excess of emotional exhaustion, while only 8% of the group demonstrated significant mental success.

The present research postulated, according to the literature review, H2: Workplace bullying directly affects the psychological well-being of nurses.

Rumors about negative job as mediator:

Unpleasant work ruminations are proposed as a link between site bullying and employee findings based on theory and previous experimental research. The rumor on the job was associated with sleep and bad prosperity [33, 70, 82, 85]. Building negative labor in accordance with the chronic stress cognition paradigm, rumination may be used as the element via which job strain affects the psychological well-being of workers. Current study indicates that cognition is continually involved to prevent people from welfare. The ubiquitous model of stress cognition presumptuously connects stressor and stresses to continuous cognitions (i.e., rumination) and starts building up theory. The indirect effect of bullying in the labor market on mental health and defense in this research. Silence is weak for nurses engaged in a high degree of recuperation based on the constant cognitive stress concept.

H3: Negative work rumination mediates the relationship between workplace bullying and defensive silence

H4: Negative work rumination mediates the relationship between workplace bullying and psychological well-being.

II. METHODS

Participants

In this study, the public sector nurses in Pakistan's towns Layyah, Multan, Jhang and Sahiwal were provided with 300 practical sample questionnaires. The women ratio has been found to be 100%, owing to lack of male respondents and 23,3% of those aged between 18 and 25; 67,5% of respondents between the ages of 26 and 35 years; 13,2% of respondents between the ages of 36 and 45 years; and 2,5% between the ages of 46 and 55 years. In the 50000pkr-99999 Group the sample is likewise the biggest income ratio, and most nurses (68.0%) are in the undergraduate class. Finally, the sample of the people questioned at the public sector hospitals in Pakistan is 100% public servants.

Instruments

All measures were obtained in this research using the established scales in the English language. The following are the specific measures used for the study.

Workplace bullying

A brief version of the Negative Acts Questionnaire-revised (NaQ-R) was used to evaluate the bullying experience with 9 items [28, 62]. Ninths are collected into three parts: occupational harassment, personal harassment and physical harassment. The NAQ's brief version is a Likert-scale of five, which is never daily to four. Cronbach's full-scale alpha reached 90 in 2009 by Einarsen et al. In this investigation, the alpha in Cronbach was found to be 0,756.

Defensive silence

Defense quiet has been judged on the (2013) staff quietness scale of Bransfield utilizing a five-point assessment of defensive silent. Scale components were anchored in a 5-point scale of 1 (very much in dispute) to 5. (Strongly agree). One example is 'I believe talking up is dangerous.' The alpha reliability of the scale is 0.705 in the current research.

Negative work Rumination

An evaluation of labor-related ruminations was conducted using a scale developed by (Cropley, Michalianou, Pravettoni & Millward (2012). The scale includes a total of three sub-scales of emotional rumination, problem solution and detachment, however only successful ruminations have been used. A five-point Likert format is available from 1 (Sometimes / never) to 5 (Sometimes / frequently). Alpha dependability is 0.758 in the current study.

Psychological well-being:

The 12-point GHQ was used in the current study to evaluate psychological goods. There is a four-point Likert scale, from 1 to 4, far more than usual [34]. 0.758 was established for the reliability of the scale in this study.

Data analysis

The questions have been replied to and analyzed using IBM SPSS TM Version 24 and Smart version 23. (PLS). The dependability of the scale was checked and a number of

MLR analyses were followed by basic descriptive studies, including correspondence. The test hypotheses were confirmed prior to analysis. Residue normality, outliers and multi-linearity included the controlled assumptions.

Control Variables

Two demographic variables (age and education) were used to control the outcomes of alternatives, which are related to the feeling of bullying at work [51]

Common method bias

50 or more percent If a factor explains the whole difference, common method bias (CMB) will affect the information (Podsakoff et al., 2003). We conduct exploratory factor analyses (EFA) using SPSS in order to validate the existence of Herman single factor data (all items were loaded with a single factor). The results for the test of the Herman Single Factor (EFA) indicate that one factor had

a full 12 percent variation, which is less than 50 percent.

III.   RESULTS

Testing of Direct Effects

The chart of the structural model shows the strength of every beta value. This shows that this relationship is robust and maintained in such a way that nurses would instead stay still if the bullying is ongoing in the health field. The bullying route is 2,585 (beta = 2,585, P < 0,05). There is a coefficient trajectory between the variable laboratory bullying and the psychologic well-being of 3,891 (beta=3.891), also found to be strong. Equally noteworthy is the route coefficient between negative labor rumination and bullying, precisely 1,987 (beta= 1,987). Beta = 4,575 (beta = 4,575, P<,05) and 3,480 (beta = 3,480) between the calm of defense and negative labor are acceptable. The consequence is that the factor between bad work and mental well-being is acceptable.

Table NO. 01. Hypothesis Testing Results

Hypothesis	Relationships	Hypothesis Statement	Beta	t	p	Results
H1	WB-DS	WB has a direct impact on defensive silence	0.116	2.823	0.021	Accepted
H2	WB-PWB	WB has a negative impact on PWB	-0.209	3.912	0.000	Accepted

Testing Mediation Effects

The 13 version of PL.SEM was used for the analysis of mediation**.**

Table No.02 shows that unpleasant ruminations at work moderate considerably and partially between workplace bullying and protective silence, and job-related bullying and emotional protection. When the mediator is in existence, the value of IV decreases as the decreased value of beta is seen (regression coefficient). In the absence of the NWR, the beta value of WB and DS is 0.116, while it is decreased to 0.034 in the presence of the NWR as mediator. In the case of WB and PW the regression coefficient values have also decreased to -0,025 in the absence of NWR which shows that the NWR caused the mediation.

Since the importance is less than or equal to 0.05 (p< 0.05), the relationship between independent and dependent variables such as bullying in the workplace and defensive silence and mental wellbeing is significant. The p and t values for bullying and defendable silence in the working environment are 0.033 and 2.644 which satisfy the criteria of Hair et al (2010) that the p-value is below or equivalent to 0.05. Therefore, Hypothesis 3 is acceptable. Similarly, the p- and t values for workplace and psychological health bullying are 0.023 and 1.992 and hypothesis 4 with the admission criteria is thus supported.

Therefore, there will be negative rumors in the workplace and the psychological good of nurses is worse if exposure to bullying is significant.

Table NO.02. Results of mediation analysis

Hypothesis	Relation	Beta	S. E	t value	p-value	Confidence interval		
						2.5% 97.5%		
						LL	UL	Decision
H3	WB_-NWR_> DS	0.034	1.15	2.644	0.033	0.315	0.413	Partial Mediation
H4	WB_-> NWR_-PW	-0.025	0.88	1.992	0.023	0.107	0.260	Partial Mediation

Table NO.03 Predictive relevance Q2

Total	SSO	SSE	1-SSE/SSO
NWR	804	597.968	0.74

Note: SSO (sum of square root observations) SSE (sum of square root predictive errors)

The above table explained that Q2 exposed good relevance of 0.74 for the endogenous construct (negative work rumination). So based on Chin, and Geisser, current study model has medium predictive relevance. [20]

IV. DISCUSSION

The aim of this study is to study the harassment and outcomes in mediation (defense silence and psychological well-being). The connection between bullying and psychological well-being on the job is clear and unfavorable. These findings seem to have been linked with past research efforts, because the results indicate that bullionism is a psychological threatening issue. [38]

The current study assumes that prolonged contacts with colleagues, supervisors and other staff in hospital environments may lead to resource losses, such that resource care providers may opt to remain silent. Research results are supported by and matched with previous research where bullying goals may discreetly react to protect their sources and avoid future loss of critical resources [92]. According to the results of the current study, kids won't speak about issues and improvements in the hospital, just like their colleagues or other staff bullies, if they suffer tough negative actions. The current study shows the hypothesis that professional bullying with ß=0,160 (p<0,05) t=2,823 values have a direct impact on protective silence.

The bullying in workplaces alone will lead to more reports of poor labor, leading to a protective quiet in the hospital environment when unfavorable rumors of employment are increasing due to bullying. The findings of this study show that enduring knowledge links stress with defensive silence with goals. This constant awareness may prolong and restart stress reactions such as physical exasperation and malaise. Evidence indicates a relationship between bullying and protective silence at the workplace and the negative ruminating of work is seen as a partially mediated process. Equally powerful are the direct and indirect impacts, and negative work rumors are related to increased protective quiet.

V. CONCLUSION

This study aimed to examine the impact on employee outcomes of bullying in the workplace (defensive silence and psychological wellbeing). This study was quantitative and 300 nurses were sampled. The present research showed that the link between bullying in the job and a defensive silence and bullying in the workplace has a significant and direct effect on the psychological well-being of nurses, having been studied or investigated in the literature.

This study indicates that nurses who are subjected by their colleagues or superiors in hospitals to heavy labor harassment stay silent while shielding themselves from terror. The investigation also revealed that there is a slightly intermediated connection between work intimidation, defensive silence and psychological well-being. In addition, in all connections, the coefficients are considered positive. The findings of this study answer all questions and helped achieve the research objectives.

REFERENCES

[1]. Åkerstedt, T., Knutsson, A., Westerholm, P., Theorell, T., Alfredsson, L., & Kecklund, G. (2002). Work organisation and unintentional sleep: results from the WOLF study. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, *59*(9), 595-600.

[2]. Kareaga, A. A., Exeberria, S. A., & Smith, J. C. (2009). Assessment of burnout and psychological wellbeing among health professionals in the Basque country. *Psychology in Spain*.

[3]. Altman, B. A. (2009). Workplace bullying and the racially diverse urban context: Implications for adult education. *Multicultural Learning and Teaching*, *4*(1).

[4]. Aquino, K., & Thau, S. (2009). Workplace victimization: Aggression from the target's perspective. *Annual review of psychology*, *60*, 717-741.

[5]. Burke, R. J., Moodie, S., Dolan, S. L., & Fiksenbaum, L. (2012). Job demands, social support, work satisfaction and psychological well-being among nurses in Spain. *ESADE Business School Research Paper*, (233).

[6]. Bandow, D., & Hunter, D. (2008). Developing policies about uncivil workplace behavior. *Business Communication Quarterly*, *71*(1), 103-106.

[7]. Brinsfield, C. T. (2013). Employee silence motives: Investigation of dimensionality and development of measures. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *34*(5), 671-697.

[8]. Brosschot, J. F., Pieper, S., & Thayer, J. F. (2005). Expanding stress theory: Prolonged activation and perseverative cognition. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *30*(10), 1043-1049.

[9]. Bolino, M. C., & Turnley, W. H. (2005). The personal costs of citizenship behavior: the relationship between individual initiative and role overload, job stress, and work-family conflict. *Journal of applied psychology*, *90*(4), 740.

[10]. Brunetto, Y., Farr-Wharton, R., & Shacklock, K. (2011). Supervisor-nurse relationships, teamwork, role ambiguity and well-being: Public versus private sector nurses. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, *49*(2), 143-164.

[11]. Bowie, V., Fisher, B. S., & Cooper, C. L. (2005). Introduction: new issues, trends and strategies in workplace violence.

[12]. Branch, S., Ramsay, S., & Barker, M. (2008). The bullied boss: A conceptual exploration of upwards bullying. *Advances in organizational psychology*, 93.

[13]. Bowen, F., & Blackmon, K. (2003). Spirals of silence: The dynamic effects of diversity on organizational voice. *Journal of management Studies*, *40*(6), 1393-1417.

[14]. Byrne, A., Dionisi, A. M., Barling, J., Akers, A., Robertson, J., Lys, R., ... & Dupré, K. (2014). The depleted leader: The influence of leaders' diminished psychological resources on leadership behaviors. *The Leadership Quarterly*, *25*(2), 344-357.

[15]. Cooper, T. G., & Williams, M. R. (2013). *U.S. Patent No. 8,597,280*. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

[16]. Clausen, T., Hogh, A., & Borg, V. (2012). Acts of offensive behavior and risk of long-term sickness absence in the Danish elder-care services: a prospective analysis of register-based outcomes. *International archives of occupational and environmental health*, *85*(4), 381-387.

[17]. Cropley, M., Dijk, D. J., & Stanley, N. (2006). Job strain, work rumination, and sleep in school teachers. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, *15*(2), 181-196.

[18]. Cusack, S. (2000). Workplace bullying: icebergs in sight, soundings needed. *The Lancet*, *356*(9248), 2118.

[19]. Cropley, M., Michalianou, G., Pravettoni, G., & Millward, L. J. (2012). The relation of post-work ruminative thinking with eating behavior. *Stress and Health*, *28*(1), 23-30.

[20]. Chin, W. W. (1998). The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. *Modern methods for business research*, *295*(2), 295-336.

[21]. Dyne, L. V., Ang, S., & Botero, I. C. (2003). Conceptualizing employee silence and employee voice as multidimensional constructs. *Journal of management studies*, *40*(6), 1359-1392.

[22]. Djurkovic, N., McCormack, D., & Casimir, G. (2003). The physical and psychological effects of workplace bullying and their relationship to intention to leave: A test of the psychosomatic and disability hypotheses. *International Journal of Organization Theory & Behavior*.

[23]. Djurkovic, N., McCormack, D., & Casimir, G. (2008). Workplace bullying and intention to leave: the moderating effect of perceived organizational support. *Human Resource Management Journal*, *18*(4), 405-422.

[24]. Djurkovic, N., McCormack, D., & Casimir, G. (2003). The physical and psychological effects of workplace bullying and their relationship to intention to leave: A test of the psychosomatic and disability hypotheses. *International Journal of Organization Theory & Behavior*.

[25]. D'Cruz, P., & Rayner, C. (2013). Bullying in the Indian workplace: A study of the ITES-BPO sector. *Economic and Industrial Democracy*, *34*(4), 597-619.

[26]. Einarsen, S., Hoel, H., Zapf, D., & Cooper, C. L. (2011). The concept of bullying and harassment at work: The European tradition. *Bullying and harassment in the workplace: Developments in theory, research, and practice*, *2*, 3-40.

[27]. Escartín, J. (2016). Insights into workplace bullying: psychosocial drivers and effective interventions. *Psychology research and behavior management*, *9*, 157.

[28]. Einarsen, S., Hoel, H., & Notelaers, G. (2009). Measuring exposure to bullying and harassment at work: Validity, factor structure and psychometric properties of the Negative Acts Questionnaire-Revised. *Work & stress*, *23*(1), 24-44.

[29]. Fox, S., & Stall worth, L. E. (2005). Racial/ethnic bullying: Exploring links between bullying and racism in the US workplace. *Journal of vocational behavior*, *66*(3), 438-456.

[30]. Francolin, L., High, A., Conway, P. M., Costa, G., Karate, R., & Hansen, Å. M. (2016). Do personal dispositions affect the relationship between psychosocial working conditions and workplace bullying?. *Ethics & Behavior*, *26*(6), 451-469.

[31]. Griffin, M. (2004). Teaching cognitive rehearsal as a shield for lateral violence: An intervention for newly licensed nurses. *The journal of continuing education in nursing*, *35*(6), 257-263.

[32]. Golparvar, M., & Rafizadeh, H. (2014). The relationship between bullying at workplace with psychological and spiritual well being of nurses. *Humanities and Social Sciences Letters*, *2*(2), 120-128.

[33]. Guastella, A. J., & Moulds, M. L. (2007). The impact of rumination on sleep quality following a stressful life event. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *42*(6), 1151-1162.

[34]. Goldberg, D. P. (1972). The detection of psychiatric illness by questionnaire. Maudsley Monograph No. 21.

[35]. Glomb, T. M., Munson, L. J., Hulin, C. L., Bergman, M. E., & Drasgow, F. (1999). Structural equation models of sexual harassment: Longitudinal explorations and cross-sectional generalizations. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *84*(1), 14.

[36]. Groeger, J. A., Zijlstra, F. R. H., & Dijk, D. J. (2004). Sleep quantity, sleep difficulties and their perceived consequences in a representative sample of some 2000 British adults. *Journal of sleep research*, *13*(4), 359-371.

[37]. Geisser, S. (1974). A predictive approach to the random effect model. *Biometrika*, *61*(1), 101-107.

[38]. Heames, J., & Harvey, M. (2006). Workplace bullying: A cross-level assessment. *Management Decision*.

[39]. Hoel, H., Rayner, C., & Cooper, C. L. (1999). *Workplace bullying*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

[40]. Hobfoll, S. E. (1989). Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualizing stress. *American psychologist*, *44*(3), 513.

[41]. Hobfoll, S. E., & Freedy, J. (1993). Conservation of resources: A general stress theory applied to burnout.

[42]. Hobfoll, S. E. (2001). The influence of culture, community, and the nested-self in the stress process: Advancing conservation of resources theory. *Applied psychology*, *50*(3), 337-421.

[43]. Hofstede, G. (1980). Culture and organizations. *International Studies of Management & Organization*, *10*(4), 15-41.

[44]. Huang, X., Van de Vliert, E., & Van der Vegt, G. (2005). Breaking the silence culture: Stimulation of participation and employee opinion withholding cross-nationally. *Management and Organization Review*, *1*(3), 459-482.

[45]. Hogh, A., Mikkelsen, E. G., & Hansen, A. M. (2011). Individual consequences of workplace bullying/mobbing. *Bullying and harassment in the workplace: Developments in theory, research, and practice*, *107*, 128.

[46]. Kivimäki, M., Leino-Arjas, P., Kaila-Kangas, L., Luukkonen, R., Vahtera, J., Elovainio, M., ... & Kirjonen, J. (2006). Is incomplete recovery from work a risk marker of cardiovascular death? Prospective evidence from industrial employees. *Psychosomatic medicine*, *68*(3), 402-407.

[47]. Kauppinen, K., & Tuomola, T. (2008). Work-related violence, bullying, and sexual harassment. In *Promoting health for working women* (pp. 161-182). Springer, New York, NY.

[48]. Kiazad, K., Seibert, S. E., & Kraimer, M. L. (2014). Psychological contract breach and employee innovation: A conservation of resources perspective. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, *87*(3), 535-556.

[49]. Lutgen-Sandvik, P. (2003). The communicative cycle of employee emotional abuse: Generation and regeneration of workplace mistreatment. *Management Communication Quarterly*, *16*(4), 471-501.

[50]. LeBlanc, M. M., & Kelloway, E. K. (2002). Predictors and outcomes of workplace violence and aggression. *Journal of applied psychology*, *87*(3), 444.

[51]. Lee, R. T., Brotheridge, C. M., Salin, D., & Hoel, H. (2013). Workplace bullying as a gendered phenomenon. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*.

[52]. Lutgen-Sandvik, P., & Sypher, B. D. (Eds.). (2010). *Destructive organizational communication: Processes, consequences, and constructive ways of organizing*. Routledge.

[53]. Lutgen-Sandvik, P., Tracy, S. J., & Alberts, J. K. (2007). Burned by bullying in the American workplace: Prevalence, perception, degree and impact. *Journal of Management Studies*, *44*(6), 837-862.

[54]. MacIntosh, J., O'Donnell, S., Wuest, J., & Merritt-Gray, M. (2011). How workplace bullying changes how women promote their health. *International Journal of Workplace Health Management*, *4*(1), 48-66.

[55]. Milliken, F. J., Morrison, E. W., & Hewlin, P. F. (2003). An exploratory study of employee silence: Issues that employees don't communicate upward and why. *Journal of management studies*, *40*(6), 1453-1476.

[56]. Matthiesen, S. B., & Einarsen, S. (2007). Perpetrators and targets of bullying at work: Role stress and individual differences. *Violence and victims*, *22*(6), 735-753.

[57]. Morrison, E. W. (2014). Employee voice and silence. *Annu. Rev. Organ. Psychol. Organ. Behav.*, *1*(1), 173-197.

[58]. Nielsen, M. B., & Einarsen, S. (2012). Outcomes of exposure to workplace bullying: A meta-analytic review. *Work & Stress*, *26*(4), 309-332.

[59]. Nielsen, M. B., Matthiesen, S. B., & Einarsen, S. (2010). The impact of methodological moderators on prevalence rates of workplace bullying. A meta-analysis. *Journal of Occupational and organizational Psychology*, *83*(4), 955-979.

[60]. Needham, A. W. (2003). *Workplace bullying: A costly business secret*. Auckland, New Zealand: Penguin books.

[61]. Namie, G., & Namie, R. (2009). US Workplace bullying: Some basic considerations and consultation interventions. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, *61*(3), 202.

[62]. Notelaers, G., Vermunt, J. K., Baillien, E., Einarsen, S., & De Witte, H. (2011). Exploring risk groups workplace bullying with categorical data. *Industrial health*, *49*(1), 73-88.

[63]. Nylén, L., Melin, B., & Laflamme, L. (2007). Interference between work and outside-work demands relative to health: unwinding possibilities among full-time and part-time employees. *International journal of behavioral medicine*, *14*(4), 229-236.

[64]. Ng, T. W., & Feldman, D. C. (2012). Employee voice behavior: A meta-analytic test of the conservation of resources framework. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *33*(2), 216-234.

[65]. Ortega, A., Christensen, K. B., Hogh, A., Rugulies, R., & Borg, V. (2011). One-year prospective study on the effect of workplace bullying on long-term sickness absence. *Journal of nursing management*, *19*(6), 752-759.

[66]. Pravettoni, G., Cropley, M., Leotta, S. N., & Bagnara, S. (2007). The differential role of mental rumination among industrial and knowledge workers. *Ergonomics*, *50*(11), 1931-1940.

[67]. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of applied psychology*, *88*(5), 879.

[68]. Penney, L. M., Hunter, E. M., & Perry, S. J. (2011). Personality and counterproductive work behavior: Using conservation of resources theory to narrow the profile of deviant employees. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, *84*(1), 58-77.

[69]. Peterson, N. A., & Hughey, J. (2004). Social cohesion and intrapersonal empowerment: gender as moderator. *Health education research*, *19*(5), 533-542.

[70]. Querstret, D., & Cropley, M. (2012). Exploring the relationship between work-related rumination, sleep quality, and work-related fatigue. *Journal of occupational health psychology*, *17*(3), 341.

[71]. Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2001). On happiness and human potentials: A review of research on hedonic and eudaimonic well-being. *Annual review of psychology*, *52*(1), 141-166.

[72]. Ryff, C. D., & Keyes, C. L. M. (1995). The structure of psychological well-being revisited. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, *69*(4), 719.

[73]. Rai, A., & Agarwal, U. A. (2017). Exploring nature of workplace bullying and psychometric properties of negative acts questionnaire-revised (NAQ-R) in Indian organizations. *Journal of Indian Business Research*.

[74]. Rigby, K. (2002). *New perspectives on bullying*. Jessica Kingsley Publishers.

[75]. Rutherford, A., & Rissel, C. (2004). A survey of workplace bullying in a health sector organisation. *Australian Health Review*, *28*(1), 65-72.

[76]. Samnani, A. K., & Singh, P. (2012). 20 years of workplace bullying research: a review of the antecedents and consequences of bullying in the workplace. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, *17*(6), 581-589.

[77]. Suadicani, P., Heina, H. O., & Gyntelberg, F. (1993). Are social inequalities as associated with the risk of ischaemic heart disease a result of psychosocial working conditions?. *Atherosclerosis*, *101*(2), 165-175.

[78]. Salin, D. (2008). The prevention of workplace bullying as a question of human resource management: Measures adopted and underlying organizational factors. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, *24*(3),221-231.

[79]. Stone, M. (1974). An asymptotic equivalence of choice of model by cross-validation and Akaike's criterion. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc.*, *31*, 716-723.

[80]. Salin, D. (2003). The significance of gender in the prevalence, forms and perceptions of workplace bullying.

[81]. Tuckey, M. R., & Neall, A. M. (2014). Workplace bullying erodes job and personal resources: Between- and within-person perspectives. *Journal of occupational health psychology*, *19*(4), 413.

[82]. Vahle-Hinz, T., Bamberg, E., Dettmers, J., Friedrich, N., & Keller, M. (2014). Effects of work stress on work-related rumination, restful sleep, and nocturnal heart rate variability experienced on workdays and weekends. *Journal of occupational health psychology*, *19*(2), 217.

[83]. Vartia-Väänänen, M. (2003). Workplace bullying: A study on the work environment, well-being and health.

[84]. Verhezen, P. (2010). Giving voice in a culture of silence. From a culture of compliance to a culture of integrity. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *96*(2), 187-206.

[85]. Watkins, E. R. (2008). Constructive and unconstructive repetitive thought. *Psychological bulletin*, *134*(2), 163.

[86]. White, D. R. (2018). Workplace Bullying From a Nurses Perspective.

[87]. Wieclaw, J., Agerbo, E., Mortensen, P. B., Burr, H., Tüchsen, F., & Bonde, J. P. (2006). Work related violence and threats and the risk of depression and stress disorders. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*, *60*(9), 771-775.

[88]. Wegner, D. M., Schneider, D. J., Carter, S. R., & White, T. L. (1987). Paradoxical effects of thought suppression. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, *53*(1), 5.

[89]. Wheeler, A. R., Halbesleben, J. R., & Shanine, K. (2010). Eating their cake and everyone else's cake, too: Resources as the main ingredient to workplace bullying. *Business Horizons*, *53*(6), 553-560.

[90]. Wright, W., & Khatri, N. (2015). Bullying among nursing staff: Relationship with psychological/behavioral responses of nurses and medical errors. *Health care management review*, *40*(2), 139-147.

[91]. White, H. D., Norris, R. M., Brown, M. A., Brandt, P. W., Whitlock, R. M., & Wild, C. J. (1987). Left ventricular end-systolic volume as the major determinant of survival after recovery from myocardial infarction. *Circulation*, *76*(1), 44-51

[92]. Xu, A. J., Loi, R., & Lam, L. W. (2015). The bad boss takes it all: How abusive supervision and leader–member exchange interact to influence employee silence. *The Leadership Quarterly*, *26*(5), 763-774